Flocks and crowds: active fluids

Denis BARTOLO Laboratoire de Physique, ENS de Lyon

Flock hydraulics

Crowd hydrodynamics





How does active matter flow?

Active Matter

We are active solids



Fish school



Jake Butters and Denis Bartolo

Bird flock



Starling flock, Roma (BBC)

Locust swarm



Active liquids



F. Nureldine, AFP



Steve Dunleavy





National geographic

National geographic

Flocks, schools and herds as spontaneously flowing liquids

Synthetic active matter

Synthetic active matter

Active emulsions



Thutupalli et al NJP (2011)

Active nematics



Sanchez et al Nature (2012)

Active colloids



Palacci et al Science (2013)

Active membranes



Keber et al Science (2014)

Synthetic flocks



Flocking fluids: laminar flows



Engineering flocking fluids

 $\phi \sim 3 \times 10^{-1}$



Self-propelled units



Colloidal rollers





Colloidal rollers

Spontaneous rotation



Colloidal rollers



Quincke rollers



 $\phi \sim 10^{-4}$

Flocking transition



 $\phi \sim 3 \times 10^{-1}$

Flocking fluids



Spontaneous laminar flows in channels and pipes

 $\phi \sim 3 \times 10^{-1}$

Active flows in pipe networks?



Active Hydraulics?



Hydraulics

Conveyance of liquids through pipes and channels









Hydraulics

Linear problem



```
Vein skeleton of a Hydrangea ,wikipedia
```



London's hydraulic Network 1960, Power water networks

Mass conservation

 $\mathbf{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{\mathbf{J}}_i = \mathbf{0}$ node *i*



 \mathbf{J}_2

Edited by STEPHANIE ROST

THE ORIENTAL INSTITUTE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO ORIENTAL INSTITUTE SEMINARS • NUMBER 13

J3

Constitutive relation

Poiseuille (1840)

Darcy (1856)





Recherches expérimentales sur le mouvement des liquides, dans les tubes de très petits diamètres, 1840

Fontaines publiques de la ville de Dijon, 1856





$J = -K \Delta P$ Flux Pressure drop

Hydraulics Newtonian fluids



Pipe network geometry

Confined Active Flows: Nonlinear



Colloïdal rollers

Active fluids: Bistable flows



Colloïdal rollers

Active Hydraulics



Vertex problem





Bivalent Units

Trivalent Units



Pipe



Honeycomb Lattice





0.2 mm

Aspect ratio: 0.7-1.7

Colloidal Roller Fluid



Steady state: Uniform packing fraction



Steady state: Current statistics


Geomerical Frustration



Activity forbids uniform laminar flows

Geomerical Frustration







Opathalage et al PNAS 2019

Geomerical Frustration





Seven vertex configurations



Active Fluidic Network Theory

F. Woodhouse and J. Dunkel





Seven vertex configurations



Generators of self-avoiding random walks

Streamlines: Self-avoiding loops











Aspect ratio

Structural change





 $R_{\rm g} \sim L^{\nu}$

Gyrationradius





 $R_{\rm g} \sim L^{\nu}$





Aspect ratio

Steamlines as a landscape's contour map



Mont Blanc 4,808m | 15,777ft

Steamlines as a contour map



Aspect ratio

Nienhuis 1980's







Interactions between stream lines

Structrure of the zero-current channels







Coupling Symetries

Antiferromagnetic



Favors hairpins & crumples



Coupling Symetries?

Ferromagnetic



Ferromagnetic interactions prevails

Ferromagnetic





Ferromagnetic interactions prevails

Ferromagnetic



Favors Persistent & nested loops



Active Hydraulics

1 — Mass conservation 2 — Spontaneous flows

 $\sum_{j} \Phi_{ij} = 0 \quad \Phi_{ij} = \pm \Phi_{0}, 0$ $\Phi = 1 \qquad \Phi = 0$ $\Phi = -1$

Active Hydraulics

1 – Mass conservation 2 – Spontaneous flows



3- Topological-defect-mediated interactions





Three Coloring model



Active Hydraulics



Predicting flow patterns

Edge current: Node handedness

$$\Phi_{ij} = \pm 1,0$$
$$\sigma_i = \pm 1,0$$

Promote Spontaneous flows

$$\mathcal{H} = -J_{\mathrm{A}} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \Phi_{ij}^2$$



Stramline Interactions



Minimize given the mass-conservation constraint

$$\sum_{j} \Phi_{ij} = 0$$



Theory



Crumpling of the stream lines





Active Hydraulics

- 1-Mass conservation $\sum_{\substack{\text{node }i\\ J_i = \pm J_0, 0}} J_i = 0$

3 — Defect-mediated interactions



Active Hydraulics





Crowd Hydrodynamics

Without any assumption about pedestrian behavior



Crowds as continua

Conservation laws & Constitutive relations



Experimental measurements



Controled perturbations



Hydrodynamic model
Chicago marathon



Chicago marathon



Image correction

Raw image



Corrected image



Density field



Crowd hydrostatics





 $ho_0 = 2.2 \pm .05 \, {
m m}^{-2}$

Crowd dynamics



 $10 \,\mathrm{m} \times 1 \,\mathrm{m}$ $\delta v \sim 10 \,\mathrm{cm/s}$



 $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)$

Density-speed waves



Dynamic response to boundary perturbations



Constant wave speed



Linear response



Spectral analysis



Flow speed

Flow orientation

 $\varphi = \arg(\mathbf{v})$

Speed waves



Upstream transport only $\omega = -c_0q_x$ $c_0 = 1.2 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$





Diffusive damping $\alpha = D(\theta)q^2$





Slow 1D longitudinal dynamics

 $i\omega = -icq_x - D_0 q_x^2$

Orientational dynamics



Orientational fluctuations are overdamped

Orientational dynamics



Fast overdamped 2D dynamics

$$i\omega = -\alpha_0 - D_x q_x^2 - D_y q_y^2$$

Polarized crowds



1) Static polarised crowds are homogeneous $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow \rho = 2.2 \pm .05 \,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$



3) Flow speed: slow 1D dynamics, no intrinsic relaxation scale $i \omega = -i c_0 q_x - D_0 q_x^2$



4) Flow orientation: fast relation at all scales

 $i\omega = -\alpha_0 + \mathcal{O}(q^2)$

Crowd hydrodynamics

Conservation laws, symmetries & phenomenology

No behavioral assumption

Three fields



Simplifying observation

- People do not walk sideways

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \hat{\mathbf{p}}$$

Conservation laws

Mass conservation:

$$\partial_t \rho + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$$

Momentum conservation:

$$\rho \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{v} = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$$

Overdamped angular dynamic

$$\partial_t \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{T}$$

Stress field

Momentum conservation:

Pressure stress

$$\rho \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{v} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{f}} \qquad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = -P(\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\nabla)$$

Linear response

$$\nabla \cdot \sigma \sim -\beta \nabla \rho$$



Momentum conservation:

 $\rho \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{v} = -\beta \nabla \rho + \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{f}}$

Friction Force





Momentum conservation:

 $\rho \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{v} = -\beta \nabla \rho + \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{f}}$

Friction Force



 $\mathbf{F}_{f} = -\Gamma \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \nu_{0}\mathbf{p}) + \mathcal{O}(\nabla)$

Crowd hydrostatics

Force Balance

 $0 = -\beta \nabla \rho + \nu_0 \mathbf{p}$

 $\nu_0(\rho_0) = 0$



 ho_{0} = 2.2 \pm .05 m⁻²

Body torque

Angular dynamics $\partial_t \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{T}$





Polarized crowd hydrodynamics

$$\partial_t v - c_0 \,\partial_x v - D_0 \,\partial_x^2 v = 0$$

$$c_0 = -\rho_0 \nu_0'(\rho_0)$$

Active friction

 $D_0 = \frac{\rho_0 \beta}{\Gamma_x}$ Compressibility

Predictive theory?

 $\partial_t v - c_0 \,\partial_x v - D_0 \,\partial_x^2 v = 0$



2016 Chicago Marathon
2017 Chicago Marathon
2017 Paris Marathon
2017 Peach Tree Road Race
2018 Chicago Marathon

Predictive theory



Camille Jorge

Amélie Chardac

Alexis Poncet







Alexandre Morin



Delphine Geyer











www.bartololab.com



www.bartololab.com